arXiv:1701.06139v1 [math.CO] 22 Jan 2017

Dense 3-uniform hypergraphs containing a large clique

Biao Wu * Yuejian Peng †

Abstract

An r-uniform graph G is dense if and only if every proper subgraph G' of G satisfies $\lambda(G') < \lambda(G)$, where $\lambda(G)$ is the Lagrangian of a hypergraph G. In 1980's, Sidorenko showed that $\pi(F)$, the Turán density of an r-uniform hypergraph F is $r!$ multiplying the supremum of the Lagrangians of all dense F-hom-free r-uniform hypergraphs. This connection has been applied in estimating Turán density of hypergraphs. When $r = 2$, the result of Motzkin and Straus shows that a graph is dense if and only if it is a complete graph. However, when $r \geq 3$, it becomes much harder to estimate the Lagrangians of r-uniform hypergraphs and to characterize the structure of all dense r-uniform graphs. The main goal of this note is to give some sufficient conditions for 3-uniform graphs with given substructures to be dense. For example, if G is a 3-graph with vertex set $[t]$ and m edges containing $[t-1]^{(3)}$, then G is dense if and only if $m \geq \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} + 1$. We also give sufficient condition condition on the number of edges for a 3-uniform hypergraph containing a large clique minus 1 or 2 edges to be dense.

Key Words: Dense hypergraphs; Lagrangian of hypergraphs; Turán density.
AMS Subject Classification (2010): 05C65, 05D05

1 Introduction

For a set V and a positive integer r we denote by $V^{(r)}$ the family of all r-subsets of V. An *r-uniform graph* or *r-graph* G consists of a set $V(G)$ of vertices and a set $E(G) \subseteq V(G)^{(r)}$ of edges. An edge $e = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r\}$ will be simply denoted by $a_1 a_2 \ldots a_r$. An r-graph H is a *subgraph* of an r-graph G, denoted by $H \subseteq G$ if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. A subgraph G *induced* by $V' \subseteq V$, denoted as $G[V']$, is the r-graph with vertex set V' and edge set $E' = \{e \in E(G) : e \subseteq V'\}$. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all positive integers. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the set $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ by $[n]$. Let $K_t^{(r)}$ denote the *complete r-graph* on t vertices, that is the r-graph on t vertices containing all possible edges. A complete r-graph on t vertices is also called *a clique* with order t. We also let $[n]^{(r)}$ denote the complete r-uniform graph on the vertex set $[n]$. When $r = 2$, an r-uniform graph is a *simple graph*. When $r \geq 3$, an r-graph is often called a *hypergraph*.

*College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, P.R. China. Email: wubiao@hnu.edu.cn.

†Corresponding author. Institute of Mathematics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, P.R. China. Email: ypeng1@hnu.edu.cn. Partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11271116).

Definition 1.1 *For an r-uniform graph G with the vertex set $[n]$, edge set $E(G)$ and a weighting $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define*

$$\lambda(G, \vec{x}) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} \prod_{i \in e} x_i.$$

The Lagrangian of G, denoted by $\lambda(G)$, is defined as

$$\lambda(G) = \max\{\lambda(G, \vec{x}) : \vec{x} \in S\},$$

where

$$S = \{\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) : \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1, x_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}.$$

The value x_i is called the *weight* of the vertex i and any weighting $\vec{x} \in S$ is called a legal weighting. A weighting $\vec{y} \in S$ is called an *optimum weighting* for G if $\lambda(G, \vec{y}) = \lambda(G)$. The following fact is easily implied by the definition of the Lagrangian.

Fact 1.2 *Let G_1, G_2 be r-uniform graphs and $G_1 \subseteq G_2$. Then $\lambda(G_1) \leq \lambda(G_2)$.*

In [5], Motzkin and Straus provided the following simple expression for the Lagrangian of a 2-graph.

Theorem 1.3 ([5]) *If G is a 2-graph in which a largest clique has order t then*

$$\lambda(G) = \lambda(K_t^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{t}).$$

Definition 1.4 *An r-uniform graph G is dense if every proper subgraph G' of G satisfies $\lambda(G') < \lambda(G)$. This is equivalent to that all optimum weightings of G are in the interior of S, in other words, no coordinate in an optimum weighting is zero.*

In 1980's, Sidorenko [9] and Frankl and Füredi [1] developed the Lagrangian method for hypergraph Turán problems. Let F and G be hypergraphs. We say that a function $f : V(F) \to V(G)$ is a *homomorphism* from hypergrah F to hypergraph G if it preserves edges, i.e. $f(i_1)f(i_2)\cdots f(i_k) \in E(G)$ for all $i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k \in E(F)$. We say that G is *F-hom-free* if there is no homomorphism from F to G. Sidorenko [9] showed that $\pi(F)$, the Turán density of an r-uniform hypergraph F is $r!$ multiplying the supremum of the Lagrangians of all dense F-hom-free r-uniform hypergraphs, i.e.,

$$\pi(F) = r! \sup\{\lambda(G) : G \text{ is a dense } F\text{- hom -free } r\text{-graph}\}.$$

Recent applications of this connection can be found in [4, 3, **?**]. When $r = 2$, the result of Motzkin and Straus tells that all dense graphs are complete graphs. Therefore, for a graph F with the chromatic number t, all dense F-hom-free graphs are complete graphs with order smaller than t. So $\pi(F) \leq 2!\lambda(K_{t-1}) = 1 - \frac{1}{t-1}$. This gives another proof of the fundamental result of Erdős-Stone-Simonovits on Turán densities of graphs. The key in the proof is that the result of Motzkin and Straus gives the structure of dense graphs and allows us to estimate the Lagrangian of a dense graph easily. However, when $r \geq 3$, it becomes much harder to estimate the Lagrangians of r-uniform hypergraphs and to characterize the structure of all dense r-uniform graphs. The main goal of this note is to characterize 3-graphs with certain given substructures to be dense.

In the following section, we give some elementary facts on dense r-graphs and some preliminary results needed in the proof. In sections 3, 4 and 5, we give results on 3-uniform hypergraphs containing a large clique, a large clique with one edge or two edges removed correspondingly. Some open questions and remarks are given in section 6.

2 Elementary Facts

Fact 2.1 (Cover pairs) *For every dense r-graph $G=(V,E)$ and for every pair $i,j\in V$, there exists an edge $e\in E(G)$ such that $\{i,j\}\subseteq e$.*

Proof. Let $G=(V,E)$ be a dense r-graph with n vertices. On the contrary suppose that there exists $i,j\in V$ such that $\{i,j\}\nsubseteq e$ for every $e\in E(G)$, i.e. $\{e\in E(G):\{i,j\}\subseteq e\}=\emptyset$. Let $\vec{x}=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)$ be an optimum weighting for G with k positive integers. Assume that $\frac{\partial\lambda(G,\vec{x})}{\partial x_i}\geq\frac{\partial\lambda(G,\vec{x})}{\partial x_j}$. Let $\vec{y}=(y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_n)$ be a weighting with $y_l=x_l$ for every $l\neq i,j$, $y_i=x_i+x_j$ and $y_j=0$. Then $\lambda(G,\vec{y})-\lambda(G,\vec{x})=x_j\left(\frac{\partial\lambda(G,\vec{x})}{\partial x_i}-\frac{\partial\lambda(G,\vec{x})}{\partial x_j}\right)-x_j^2\frac{\partial^2\lambda(G,\vec{x})}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}\geq 0$. It must be $\lambda(G,\vec{y})=\lambda(G,\vec{x})$ since $\vec{x}$ is an optimum weighting for G. This implies that $\lambda(G)=\lambda(G[V\setminus\{j\}])$, i.e. G is not dense. This is a contradiction. ■

Remark 2.2 *Let G be an r-graph on t vertices. If $\lambda(G)>\lambda(K_{t-1}^{(r)})$ then G is dense.*

Proof. G is not dense if and only if there exists a subgraph $G'\subseteq K_{t-1}^{(r)}$ such that $\lambda(G)=\lambda(G')$. This implies that $\lambda(G)\leq\lambda(K_{t-1}^{(r)})$, a contradiction. ■

Fact 2.3 *Let G be an r-graph on t vertices with m edges. If $m>\frac{t^r\binom{t-1}{r}}{(t-1)^r}$ then G is dense.*

Proof. Let G be an r-graph on t vertices with $m>\frac{t^r\binom{t-1}{r}}{(t-1)^r}$ edges. By Remark 2.2, it's sufficient to prove that $\lambda(G)>\lambda(K_{t-1}^{(r)})$. It's clear that $\lambda(K_{t-1}^{(r)})=\frac{\binom{t-1}{r}}{(t-1)^r}$ since the weights of every vertex in the optimum weighting is $\frac{1}{t-1}$. Let $\vec{x}=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_t)$ be a legal weighting for G with $x_j=\frac{1}{t}$ for every $j\in[t]$. Then $\lambda(G)\geq\lambda(G,\vec{x})=\frac{m}{t^r}>\frac{\binom{t-1}{r}}{(t-1)^r}=\lambda(K_{t-1}^{(r)})$. ■

Remark 2.4 (Non-heredity) *An induced subgraph of a dense r-graph may not be dense.*

Proof. For example, $G=[t]^{(3)}\setminus\{(t-3)(t-1)t,(t-2)(t-1)t\}$ is dense by Theorem 3.2. In view of Fact 2.1, since $t-1$ and t are not covered by any edge in $G[\{t-3,t-2,t-1,t\}]$, then $G[\{t-3,t-2,t-1,t\}]$ is not dense. ■

For an r-graph $G=(V,E)$ and $i\in V$, let $E_i=\{A\in V^{(r-1)}:A\cup\{i\}\in E\}$ denote the link of i. For a pair of vertices $i,j\in V$, let $E_{ij}=\{B\in V^{(r-2)}:B\cup\{i,j\}\in E\}$. Let $E_i^c=\{A\in V^{(r-1)}:A\cup\{i\}\in V^{(r)}\setminus E\}$ and $E_{ij}^c=\{B\in V^{(r-2)}:B\cup\{i,j\}\in V^{(r)}\setminus E\}$. Denote

$$E_{i\setminus j}=E_i\cap E_j^c,\quad E_{(i_1i_2)\setminus(j_1j_2)}=E_{i_1i_2}\cap E_{j_1j_2}^c.$$

We say that an r-graph $G=(V,E)$ on vertex set $[n]$ is *left compressed* if $E_{j\setminus i}=\emptyset$ for any $1\leq i<j\leq n$. In other words, for any $i<j$, if $k_1k_2\ldots k_{r-1}\in E_j$, where $k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_{r-1}\neq i$, then $k_1k_2\ldots k_{r-1}\in E_i$.

An r-tuple $i_1i_2\cdots i_r$ is called an *ancestor* of an r-tuple $j_1j_2\cdots j_r$ if $i_1\leq j_1$, $i_2\leq j_2$, …, $i_r\leq j_r$, and $i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_r<j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_r$. In this case, the r-tuple $j_1j_2\cdots j_r$ is called a *descendant* of $i_1i_2\cdots i_r$. We say that $i_1i_2\cdots i_r$ has higher hierarchy than $j_1j_2\cdots j_r$ if $i_1i_2\cdots i_r$ is an ancestor of $j_1j_2\cdots j_r$. We remark that an r-graph G on the vertex set $[n]$ is left-compressed if and only if all ancestors of an edge are edges in G.

We will impose one additional condition on any optimum weighting $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ for an r-graph G in this paper:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{i : x_i > 0\}| \text{ is minimal, i.e. if } \vec{y} \text{ is a legal weighting for } G \text{ satisfying} \\ &|\{i : y_i > 0\}| < |\{i : x_i > 0\}|, \text{ then } \lambda(G, \vec{y}) < \lambda(G). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Lemma 2.5 [2] *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an r-graph and $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting for G with k positive weights $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$. Then for every $\{i, j\} \in [k]^{(2)}$,* (a) *$\lambda(E_i, \vec{x}) = \lambda(E_j, \vec{x}) = r\lambda(G)$,* (b) *If $\vec{x}$ satisfies condition* (1)*, then there is an edge in E containing both i and j.*

Remark 2.6 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an r-graph with the vertex set $[n]$ and $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting for G with k non-zero weights x_1, x_2, $\cdots$, x_k. Let i, j be positive integers satisfying $1 \le i < j \le k$. Then*

(a) *Part* (a) *in Lemma 2.5 implies that*

$$x_j \lambda(E_{ij}, \vec{x}) + \lambda(E_{i\setminus j}, \vec{x}) = x_i \lambda(E_{ij}, \vec{x}) + \lambda(E_{j\setminus i}, \vec{x}).$$

(b) *If G is left-compressed, then*

$$x_i - x_j = \frac{\lambda(E_{i\setminus j}, \vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{ij}, \vec{x})}$$

holds since $E_{j\setminus i} = \emptyset$. If G is left-compressed and $E_{i\setminus j} = \emptyset$, then $x_i = x_j$.

(c) *If G is left-compressed, then*

$$x_1 \ge x_2 \ge \ldots \ge x_n \ge 0.$$

3 3-uniform hypergraphs containing a large clique

The following result due to Peng-Zhao in [8] implies that if G is a 3-graph with at most $\binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2}$ edges and G contains a clique of order $t-1$, then G is not dense.

Theorem 3.1 ([8]) *Let m and t be positive integers satisfying $\binom{t-1}{3} \le m \le \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2}$. Let G be a 3-graph with m edges and contain a clique of order $t-1$. Then $\lambda(G) = \lambda([t-1]^{(3)})$.*

We give a sufficient condition for r-uniform hypergraphs containing a large clique to be dense.

Theorem 3.2 *Let m, t and $r \ge 3$ be positive integers satisfying $m \ge \binom{t-1}{r} + \binom{t-2}{r-1} + 1$. Let G be an r-graph on t vertices with m edges. If $[t-1]^{(r)} \subseteq G$, then G is dense.*

Proof. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t)$ be an optimum weighting of G. To complete the proof of the theorem, it's sufficient to prove that

$$\lambda(G) > \lambda(K_{t-1}^{(r)}) = \binom{t-1}{r} \frac{1}{(t-1)^r}.$$

Consider a legal weighting $\vec{y}$ for G with $y_i = \frac{1}{t-1}$ for every $i \in [t-2]$, $y_{t-1} = \frac{1-\delta}{t-1}$ and $y_t = \frac{\delta}{t-1}$, where

$$0 < \delta < \frac{1}{\binom{t-2}{r-2}}.$$

Note that $\lambda(G, \vec{y})$ is the minimum if $\{A \cup (t-1)t : A \in \binom{[t-2]}{r-2}\} \subset E(G)$ when δ is small enough. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(G,\vec{y}) &\geq \binom{t-1}{r}\frac{1}{(t-1)^r} - \binom{t-2}{r-1}\frac{\delta}{(t-1)^r} + \binom{t-2}{r-2}\frac{(1-\delta)\delta}{(t-1)^r} \\
&\quad + \left[\binom{t-2}{r-1} + 1 - \binom{t-2}{r-2}\right]\frac{\delta}{(t-1)^r} \\
&= \binom{t-1}{r}\frac{1}{(t-1)^r} + \left[\binom{t-2}{r-2}(1-\delta) - \binom{t-2}{r-2} + 1\right]\frac{\delta}{(t-1)^r} \\
&= \binom{t-1}{r}\frac{1}{(t-1)^r} + \left[1 - \binom{t-2}{r-2}\delta\right]\frac{\delta}{(t-1)^r} \\
&> \binom{t-1}{r}\frac{1}{(t-1)^r} \\
&= \lambda(K_{t-1}^{(r)}).
\end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.2, we have that G is dense. ■

By Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.1, we get that

Corollary 3.3 *Let G be a 3-graph with vertex set $[t]$ and m edges containing $[t-1]^{(3)}$. Then G is dense if and only if $m \geq \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} + 1$.*

4 3-uniform hypergraphs containing a large clique minus one edge

Denote $H_1 = [t-1]^{(3)} \setminus \{(t-3)(t-2)(t-1)\}$, $H_2 = [t-1]^{(3)} \setminus \{(t-3)(t-2)(t-1), (t-4)(t-2)(t-1)\}$, $H_3 = [t-1]^{(3)} \setminus \{(t-3)(t-2)(t-1), (t-5)(t-4)(t-1)\}$ and $H_4 = [t-1]^{(3)} \setminus \{(t-3)(t-2)(t-1), (t-6)(t-5)(t-4)\}$. If a 3-graph G contains a clique of order $t-1$ minus one edge and G does not contain a clique of order $t-1$, then $G[[t-1]]$ has only one non-isomorphic case, i.e. $G[[t-1]] = H_1$. If a 3-graph G contains a clique of order $t-1$ minus two edges and G does not contain a clique of order $t-1$ minus one edge then $G[[t-1]]$ has three non-isomorphic cases, i.e. $G[[t-1]] = H_2$, H_3 or H_4. Let m and t be positive integers satisfying $m \leq \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 2$, denote

$\lambda_{m,1} := \max\{\lambda(G) : G$ is a 3-graph with m edges and $G[[t-1]] = H_1$ and G doesn't contain a clique of order $t-1\}$ and

$\lambda_{m,s} := \max\{\lambda(G) : G$ is a 3-graph with m edges and $G[[t-1]] = H_s$ and G doesn't contain a clique of order $t-1$ minus one edge$\}$ for $s = 2, 3, 4$.

4.1 Non-dense case

In this subsection, we give a sufficient condition for 3-uniform hypergraphs containing a large clique minus one edge to be non-dense.

Theorem 4.1 *Let m and $t \geq 5$ be positive integers satisfying $\binom{t-1}{3} \leq m \leq \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 3$. Let H be a 3-graph with m edges. If all but one edge of $[t-1]^{(3)}$ are in H and H doesn't contain a clique of order $t-1$, then H is not dense.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $H[[t-1]] = H_1$. We first prove the following lemma. The case of $s = 2$ of Lemma 4.2 will be applied to prove Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 4.2 *Let m and t be positive integers satisfying $m \leq \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 3s$, where $s = 1, 2$. Then there exists a left-compressed 3-graph G with m edges satisfying $G[[t-1]] = H_s$ and $\lambda(G) = \lambda_{m,s}$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let $s = 1$ or 2. Let F be a 3-uniform graph with $m \leq \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 2s$ edges, $F[[t-1]] \cong H_s$ and $\lambda(F) = \lambda_{m,s}$. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of F satisfying condition (1) and k be the number of non-zero weights in $\vec{x}$. If $k \leq t-1$, since the left-compressed 3-graph $H_s \subseteq F$, then lemma holds. Now suppose that $k \geq t$. We can assume that $x_j \geq x_l$ when $1 \leq j < l \leq t-1$ or $t \leq j < l$, since otherwise we can just relabel the vertices of F and obtain another extremal 3-graph F' satisfying $F'[[t-1]] \cong H_s$. Next we obtain a new 3-graph G from F by performing the following:
1. If an edge $j_1j_2j_3$ has an ancestor $i_1i_2i_3$ that is not in F, where $j_1, j_2, j_3 < t$, then replace $j_1j_2j_3$ by $i_1i_2i_3$. Repeat this until there is no such an edge.
2. If an edge j_1j_2t'' in F has an ancestor i_1i_2t' that is not in F, where $t \leq t' \leq t''$, then replace j_1j_2t'' by i_1i_2t'. Repeat this until there is no such an edge.

Then G satisfies the following properties:
1. The number of edges in G is the same as the number of edges in F.
2. $\lambda(F) = \lambda(F, \vec{x}) \leq \lambda(G, \vec{x}) \leq \lambda(G)$.
3. $G[[t-1]] = H_s$.
4. All ancestors containing the vertex t' of j_1j_2t'' in G are in G, where $t \leq t' \leq t''$.

Case 1. s=1. If $(t-3)(t-2)t \notin G$, then Properties 3 and 4 imply that G is left-compressed. Suppose that $(t-3)(t-2)t \in G$. Note that $1(t-1)t \in G$ by Lemma 2.5 (b) and $k \geq t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m &\geq \left(\binom{t-1}{3} - 1\right) + \binom{t-2}{2} + 1 \\ &= \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts to the number of edges of G.
Case 2. s=2. If $(t-4)(t-2)t \notin G$, then Properties 3 and 4 imply that G is left-compressed. Suppose that $(t-4)(t-2)t \in G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m &\geq \left(\binom{t-1}{3} - 2\right) + \left(\binom{t-2}{2} - 1\right) + 1 \\ &= \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 2, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts to the number of edges of G. ■

Now let us continue the proof of the theorem. By Lemma 4.2 and Remark 2.6 (b), there exists a left-compressed G such that $G[[t-1]] = H_1$, $\lambda(G) = \lambda_{m,1}$ and an optimum weighting $\vec{x}$ satisfying $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \cdots \geq x_k > x_{k+1} = \cdots = x_n = 0$. Since $\vec{x}$ has only k positive weights, we can assume that G is

on $[k]$. If $k \le t-1$, then we are done. So suppose that $k \ge t$. We need the following lemma. The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [12] given by Talbot. For completeness we give a detailed proof later. For the cases of $s = 2, 3, 4$ of Lemma 4.3, we need them to prove Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $l = 1$ or 2 and $s = 1$, 2, 3 or 4. Let m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 and t be positive integers satisfying $m_l \le \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 2l$ and $m_2 = m_3 = m_4$. Let G be a 3-graph satisfying* (a) *$G[[t-1]] = H_s$;* (b) *if $i_1 i_2 t'$ is in G, then all ancestors of $i_1 i_2 t'$ containing the vertex t'' are in G, where $t \le t'' \le t'$; and* (c) *$\lambda(G) = \lambda_{m_s,s}$. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of G satisfying condition* (1) *and $x_i \ge x_j$ if $i \le j$. Let k be the number of positive weights in $\vec{x}$, then*

$$|[k-1]^{(3)} \backslash E| \le k + l - 2.$$

Let us continue the proof of the theorem. Note that G satisfies the condition of Lemma 4.3. By Lemma 2.5 (b), $|E_{(k-1)k}| \ge 1$. If $k \ge t+1$, then applying Lemma 4.3 ($l = 1$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m = |E| &= |E \cap [k-1]^{(3)}| + |[k-2]^{(2)} \cap E_k| + |E_{(k-1)k}| \\ &\ge \binom{t}{3} - t + 1 \\ &= \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts that $m \le \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 2$. Recall that $k \ge t$, so we have $k = t$, then G is on $[t]$. To complete the proof, it's sufficient to prove the following Lemma. For the case of $s = 2$ of Lemma 4.4, we apply it to prove Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $s = 1$ or 2. Let m, t be positive integers satisfying $m_s \le \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 3s$, and G be a left-compressed 3-graph on vertex set $[t]$ with m edges. If $G[[t-1]] = H_s$, then $\lambda(G) = \lambda(H_s)$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t)$ be an optimum weighting of G and k be the number of non-zero weights in $\vec{x}$. Note that $x_1 \ge x_2 \ge \cdots \ge x_t$. Denote $b = |E_{(t-1)t}|$. Since G is left-compressed and $(t-3)(t-2)(t-1) \notin E(G)$, then $b \le t-4$. It's sufficient to prove that $k \le t-1$. On the contrary suppose that $k = t$. By Remark 2.6 (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-2} - x_{t-1} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{(t-2)\backslash(t-1)}, \vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-2)(t-1)}, \vec{x})} \\ &\le \frac{x_t \lambda(E_{((t-2)t)\backslash((t-1)t)}, \vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-2)(t-1)}, \vec{x})} \\ &\le x_t \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

since if $j \notin E_{(t-2)(t-1)}$, then $j \notin E_{(t-2)t}$, so $j \notin E_{((t-2)t)\backslash((t-1)t)}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - x_{t-1} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{1\backslash(t-1)}, \vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{1(t-1)}, \vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{x_t \lambda(E^c_{(t-1)t}, \vec{x}) + x_{t-2} \sum_{j=1}^{s} x_{t-j-2}}{1 - x_1 - x_{t-1}} \\ &\le x_t + \frac{s}{t-4} x_{t-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Inequalities (2) and (3) imply that

$$x_1 \leq \frac{t-4+s}{t-4}(x_{t-1}+x_t). \tag{4}$$

Again by Remark 2.6 (b) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-1}-x_t &= \frac{\lambda(E_{(t-1)\setminus t},\vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-1)t},\vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{\lambda(E_t^c\cap[t-2]^{(2)},\vec{x})-\lambda(E_{t-1}^c\cap[t-2]^{(2)},\vec{x})}{bx_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|[t-1]^{(3)}\cap E| = \binom{t-1}{3} - s$$

and

$$|E_{(t-1)t}| = b,$$

then

$$|E_t^c\cap[t-2]^{(2)}| = \binom{t-2}{2} - \Big(m - |[t-1]^{(3)}\cap E| - |E_{(t-1)t}|\Big) \geq b+2s.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-1}-x_t &\geq \frac{(b+s)x_{t-1}^2}{bx_1} \\ &\geq \frac{(b+s)x_{t-1}^2}{b\frac{t-4+s}{t-4}(x_{t-1}+x_t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Inequality (16) implies that

$$\frac{b(t-4+s)}{t-4}(x_{t-1}^2-x_t^2) \geq (b+s)x_{t-1}^2.$$

So

$$b(1+\frac{s}{t-4}) > b+s.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{b}{t-4} > 1,$$

so

$$b > t-4,$$

which contradicts to $b \leq t-4$. ■

Now let us continue the proof of the theorem. By Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4, $\lambda(H) \leq \lambda(G) = \lambda(H_1) = \lambda(H[[t-1]])$ and H is not dense. ■

4.2 Dense case

In this subsection, we give a sufficient condition for 3-uniform hypergraphs containing a large clique with one edge removed to be dense.

Theorem 4.5 *Let m and $t \geq 7$ be positive integers satisfying $\binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} \leq m \leq \binom{t}{3}$. Let G be a 3-graph with vertex set $[t]$ and m edges. If all but one edge of $[t-1]^{(3)}$ are in G and G does not contain a clique of order $t-1$, then G is dense.*

Proof. Let G satisfy the condition of Theorem 4.5. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $G[[t-1]] = H_1$. First, we deduce the value of $\lambda(H_1)$. Denote $E = E(H_1)$. Note that H_1 is left-compressed. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{t-1})$ be an optimum weighting of H_1. By Remark 2.6, we have $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_{t-4} \geq x_{t-3} = x_{t-2} = x_{t-1}$. Assume that $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_{t-4} = a$ and $x_{t-3} = x_{t-2} = x_{t-1} = b$, then $(t-4)a + 3b = 1$ and

$$\lambda(H_1) = \binom{t-4}{3}a^3 + 3\binom{t-4}{2}a^2 b + 3(t-4)ab^2. \tag{6}$$

By Remark 2.6 (b) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a - b = x_1 - x_{t-1} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{1\setminus(t-1)}, \vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{1(t-1)}, \vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{x_{t-3}x_{t-2}}{1 - x_1 - x_{t-1}} \\ &= \frac{b^2}{1-a-b}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$b = a - a^2. \tag{7}$$

Consider a legal weighting $\vec{y}$ for G with $y_i = x_i = a$ for every $i \in [t-4]$, $y_j = x_j - \varepsilon = b - \varepsilon$ for $j = t-3, t-2, t-1$ and $y_t = 3\varepsilon$, where $0 < \varepsilon \ll b$. It's obvious that $y_1 = y_2 = \ldots = y_{t-4} \geq y_{t-3} = y_{t-2} = y_{t-1} \geq y_t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(G, \vec{y}) \geq\ & \binom{t-4}{3}a^3 + 3\binom{t-4}{2}a^2(b-\varepsilon) + 3(t-4)a(b-\varepsilon)^2 + 3(b-\varepsilon)^2 3\varepsilon + \\ & 3(t-4)a(b-\varepsilon)\cdot 3\varepsilon + \left[\binom{t-2}{2} + 1 - 3(t-3)\right]a^2 \cdot 3\varepsilon \\ =\ & \binom{t-4}{3}a^3 + 3\binom{t-4}{2}a^2 b + 3(t-4)ab^2 - 3\binom{t-4}{2}a^2\varepsilon - 6(t-4)ab\varepsilon \\ & + 9b^2\varepsilon + 9(t-4)ab\varepsilon + 3\left[\binom{t-2}{2} + 1 - 3(t-3)\right]a^2\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ =\ & \lambda(H_1) - 3(t-3)a^2\varepsilon + 3(t-4)ab\varepsilon + 9b^2\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3}\left[\lambda(G, \vec{y}) - \lambda(H_1)\right] \\ =\ & -(t-3)a^2\varepsilon + (t-4)ab\varepsilon + 3b^2\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ =\ & -(t-3)a^2\varepsilon + (t-4)a(a-a^2)\varepsilon + 3(a-a^2)^2\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ =\ & a^2\varepsilon\left[2 - (t+2)a\right] + 3a^4\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(t-4)a+3b=1$ and $b=a-a^2$, then $3a^2-(t-1)a+1=0$. This implies that $a<0.2$ for every $t\geq 7$. Hence $2-(t+2)a=-3a^2-3a+1>0$ for every $a<0.2$. Hence $2-(t+2)a>0$ for every $t\geq 7$. So

$$\lambda(G,\vec{y})-\lambda(H_1)>0$$

when ε is small enough. Note that every subgraph G' of G with order less than t is a subgraph of H_1 under isomorphism, then $\lambda(G')\leq\lambda(H_1)<\lambda(G)$ and G is dense. ■

4.3 Special case

Let G be a 3-graph on vertex set $[t]$ with m edges satisfying $G[[t-1]]=H_1$. Based on Theorems 4.1 and 4.5, we can determine whether G is dense according to the number of edges m of G except the case of $m=\binom{t-1}{3}+\binom{t-2}{2}-1$ or $m=\binom{t-1}{3}+\binom{t-2}{2}-2$. For the case of $m=\binom{t-1}{3}+\binom{t-2}{2}-2$, we believe that it would be non-dense, but we cannot prove it. For the case of $m=\binom{t-1}{3}+\binom{t-2}{2}-1$, a 3-graph could be dense or non-dense. But we cannot give a characterization on a dense or non-dense 3-graph in this case. We get the following result.

Proposition 4.6 *Let m and t be positive integers satisfying $m=\binom{t-1}{3}+\binom{t-2}{2}-1$. Let G be a 3-graph on t vertices with m edges satisfying $G[[t-1]]=H_1$.* (a) *If $\{ijt:2\leq i<j\leq t-1\}\subseteq E(G)$, then G is not dense.* (b) *If $|\{(t-3)(t-2)t,(t-3)(t-1)t,(t-2)(t-1)t\}\cap E(G)|\leq 1$, then G is dense.*

Proof. (a) Suppose $\{ijt:2\leq i<j\leq t-1\}\subseteq E(G)$. Since $|\{ijt:2\leq i<j\leq t-1\}|=\binom{t-2}{2}$, $|E(G)\cap\binom{[t-1]}{3}|=\binom{t-1}{3}-1$ and $m=\binom{t-1}{3}+\binom{t-2}{2}-1=|\{ijt:2\leq i<j\leq t-1\}|+|E(G)\cap\binom{[t-1]}{3}|$, then there is no edge else in G. So there is no edge e of G such that $\{1,t\}\subseteq e$. By Lemma 2.5 (b), G has an optimum weighting such that the number of its positive weights is less than t, i.e. G is not dense. (b) Suppose $|\{(t-3)(t-2)t,(t-3)(t-1)t,(t-2)(t-1)t\}\cap E(G)|\leq 1$. Let $\vec{x}=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{t-1})$ be an optimum weighting of H_1. By Remark 2.6 (c), we have $x_1=x_2=\ldots=x_{t-4}\geq x_{t-3}=x_{t-2}=x_{t-1}$. Assume that $x_1=x_2=\ldots=x_{t-4}=a$ and $x_{t-3}=x_{t-2}=x_{t-1}=b$. The same as the proof of Theorem 4.5, we have

$$(t-4)a+3b=1,$$

$$\lambda(H_1)=\binom{t-4}{3}a^3+3\binom{t-4}{2}a^2b+3(t-4)ab^2$$

and

$$b=a-a^2.$$

Consider a legal weighting $\vec{y}$ for G with $y_i=x_i=a$ for every $i\in[t-4]$, $y_j=x_j-\varepsilon=b-\varepsilon$ for $j=t-3,t-2,t-1$ and $y_t=3\varepsilon$, where ε is small enough. It's obvious that $y_1=y_2=\ldots=y_{t-4}\geq y_{t-3}=y_{t-2}=y_{t-1}\geq y_t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(G,\vec{y}) &\geq \binom{t-4}{3}a^3+3\binom{t-4}{2}a^2(b-\varepsilon)+3(t-4)a(b-\varepsilon)^2+(b-\varepsilon)^2 3\varepsilon+\\ &\quad 3(t-4)a(b-\varepsilon)\cdot 3\varepsilon+\left[\binom{t-2}{2}-3(t-4)-1\right]a^2\cdot 3\varepsilon\\ &= \lambda(H_1)-3(t-4)a^2\varepsilon+3(t-4)ab\varepsilon+3b^2\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2).\end{aligned}$$

Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3}\left[\lambda(G,\vec{y})-\lambda(H_1)\right] \\ = \; & -(t-4)a^2\varepsilon+(t-4)ab\varepsilon+b^2\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ = \; & -(t-4)a^2\varepsilon+(t-4)a(a-a^2)\varepsilon+(a-a^2)^2\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $b=a-a^2$ and $(t-4)a+3b=1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} & -(t-4)a^2\varepsilon+(t-4)a(a-a^2)\varepsilon+(a-a^2)^2\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ = \; & a^2\varepsilon\left[1+a^2-(t-2)a\right]+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ = \; & a^2\varepsilon(3b+a^2-2a)+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ = \; & a^3(1-2a)\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ > \; & 0 \end{aligned}$$

when ε is small enough. Note that every subgraph G' of G with order less than t is a subgraph of H_1 under isomorphism, then $\lambda(G')\leq\lambda(H_1)<\lambda(G)$ and G is dense. ■

4.4 The proof of Lemma 4.3

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Let $G=([n],E)$ and $\vec{x}$ satisfy the conditions. Denote $b=\max\{j : j(k-1)k\in E\}$. Since $G[[t-1]]=H_s$ and all ancestors containing the vertex t'' of i_1i_2t' in G are in G, where $t\leq t''\leq t'$ and $s=1,2,3,4$, we note that $b\leq t-4$. Otherwise $(t-3)(t-2)t\in E(G)$, then $m\geq\left(\binom{t-1}{3}-l\right)+\binom{t-2}{2}$, contradicts to $m\leq\binom{t-1}{3}+\binom{t-2}{2}-2l$. Since $E_i=\{1,\ldots,i-1,i+1,\ldots,k\}^{(2)}$, for $1\leq i\leq b$, then $E_{i\setminus j}=\emptyset$ for $1\leq i<j\leq b$. Hence, by Remark 2.6, we have $x_1=x_2=\cdots=x_b$. Also $x_i\geq x_j$ if $i<j$. Since $G[[t-1]]=H_s$, the conclusion is true if $k\leq t$. So we may assume that $k\geq t+1$. We define a new legal weighting $\vec{y}$ for G with $y_j=x_j$ for every $j\in[n]\setminus\{k-1,k\}$, $y_{k-1}=x_k+x_{k-1}$ and $y_k=0$. Note that $\lambda(E_{k-1},\vec{x})=\lambda(E_k,\vec{x})$ from Lemma 2.5 (a). So

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(G,\vec{y})-\lambda(G,\vec{x}) &= x_k(\lambda(E_{k-1},\vec{x})-\lambda(E_k,\vec{x}))-x_k^2\lambda(E_{(k-1)k},\vec{x}) \\ &= -x_k^2\sum_{j=1}^{b}x_j \\ &= -bx_1x_k^2. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Since $y_k=0$ we may remove all edges containing the vertex k from E to form a new 3-graph $G'=([k-1],E')$ with $\lambda(G',\vec{y})=\lambda(G,\vec{y})$ and $E'=E\cap[k-1]^{(3)}$. We will show that if Lemma 4.3 fails to hold then there exists a set of edges $F\subset[k-1]^{(3)}\setminus E$ satisfying

$$\lambda(F,\vec{y})>bx_1x_k^2, \tag{9}$$

$$|F|\leq|E_k| \tag{10}$$

and there is no copy of a clique of order $t-1$ in G' for $s=1$ and no copy of a clique of order $t-1$ minus one edge in $G[E'\cup F]$ for $s=2,3,4$. Then, the graph $G''=([k],E'')$, where $E''=E'\cup F$ satisfying

$|E^{''}| \leq |E|$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(G'', \vec{y}) &= \lambda(G', \vec{y}) + \lambda(F, \vec{y}) \\ &> \lambda(G', \vec{y}) + bx_1x_k^2 \\ &= \lambda(G, \vec{x}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lambda(G'') > \lambda(G)$. Note that $G''[[t-1]] = H_s$, which contradicts to $\lambda(G) = \lambda_{m_s,s}$. We must construct the set of edges F satisfying the above condition now. Since $E_{(k-1)\setminus 1} = \emptyset$, by Remark 2.6 we have

$$x_1 = x_{k-1} + \frac{\lambda(E_{1\setminus(k-1)}, \vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{1(k-1)}, \vec{x})}.$$

Hence

$$bx_1x_k^2 = bx_{k-1}x_k^2 + \frac{bx_k^3 \sum\limits_{j=b+1}^{k-2} x_j}{\sum\limits_{i=2, j\neq k-1}^{k} x_j} + \frac{bx_k^2\lambda(C, \vec{x})}{\sum\limits_{j=2, j\neq k-1}^{k} x_j},$$

where $C = [k-2]^{(2)}\setminus E_{k-1}$. Since $x_j \geq x_l$ when $j < l$ then

$$bx_1x_k^2 \leq bx_{k-1}x_k^2\left[1 + \frac{k-(b+2)}{k-3}\right] + \frac{bx_k\lambda(C, \vec{x})}{k-2} \tag{11}$$

Let $\alpha = \lceil \frac{b|C|}{k-2} \rceil$ and $\beta = b\lceil 1 + \frac{k-(b+2)}{k-3} \rceil$. Note that $\beta \leq k-2$. Let $F' \subset [k-1]^{(3)} \setminus E$ consist of the first α heaviest edges in $[k-1]^{(3)} \setminus E$ containing the vertex $k-1$. Then

$$\lambda(F', \vec{y}) \geq \frac{bx_k\lambda(C, \vec{x})}{k-2} + \alpha x_{k-1}x_k^2.$$

Hence using (11)

$$\lambda(F', \vec{y}) - bx_1x_k^2 \geq (\alpha - \beta)x_{k-1}x_k^2. \tag{12}$$

We now distinguish two cases.

Case 1 $\alpha > \beta$**.**

In this case $\lambda(F', \vec{y}) > bx_1x_k^2$ so defining $F = F'$ satisfies (9). We show that $|F| \leq |E_k|$. Since $k \geq t$, $G[[t-1]] = H_s$ and all ancestors containing the vertex t'' of i_1i_2t' in G are in G, where $t \leq t'' \leq t'$ and $s = 1, 2, 3, 4$, then $[b]^{(2)} \cup [b] \times \{b+1, \ldots, k-1\} \subset E_k$. Hence

$$|E_k| \geq \frac{b[b-1+2(k-1-b)]}{2} \geq \frac{b(k-1)}{2} \tag{13}$$

since $b \leq k-2$. Recall that $|F| = \alpha = \lceil \frac{b|C|}{k-2} \rceil$. Since $C \subset [k-2]^{(2)}$ we have $|C| \leq \binom{k-2}{2}$. So using (13) we obtain

$$|F| \leq \left\lceil \frac{b(k-3)}{2} \right\rceil \leq \frac{b(k-1)}{2} \leq |E_k|.$$

Note that $G''[[t-1]] = H_s$. For $s = 2, 3, 4$, if G'' contains a clique of order $t-1$ with 1 edge removed (this set of $t-1$ vertices would be different from $[t-1]$), then $|E(G'')| \geq \binom{t-1}{3} - 2 + \binom{t-2}{2}$, contradiction. Similarly, for $s = 1$, G'' does not contain a clique of order $t-1$. So F fulfills the purpose.

Case 2 $\alpha \leq \beta$**.**

Suppose Lemma 4.3 fails, then $|[k-1]^{(3)} \setminus E| \geq k+l-1 \geq \beta+l+1$ (recall that $\beta \leq k-2$). Let $F'' \subset [k-1]^{(3)} \setminus E$ consist of any $\beta+1-\alpha$ edges in $[k-1]^3 \setminus (E \cup F' \cup E(H_s)^c)$ and define $F = F' \cup F''$. Then since $\lambda(F'', \vec{y}) \geq (\beta+1-\alpha)x_{k-1}^3$ and using (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(F, \vec{y}) - bx_{k-1}x_k^2 &= \lambda(F', \vec{y}) - bx_{k-1}x_k^2 + \lambda(F'', \vec{y}) \\ &\geq (\beta+1-\alpha)x_{k-1}^3 - x_{k-1}x_k^2(\beta-\alpha) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

So (9) is satisfied. We show that $|F| \leq |E_k|$. In fact,

$$|F| = \beta+1 \leq k-1 \leq \frac{b(k-1)}{2} \leq |E_k|$$

when $b \geq 2$. If $b = 1$, then

$$|F| = \beta+1 = 3 \leq k-2 = \frac{b[b-1+2(k-1-b)]}{2} \leq |E_k|$$

since $k \geq t \geq 5$. As the same of Case 1, F fulfills the purpose. ■

5 3-uniform hypergraphs containing a large clique minus two edges

5.1 Non-dense case

In this subsection, we give a sufficient condition for 3-uniform hypergraphs containing a large clique minus two edges to be non-dense.

Theorem 5.1 *Let m and $t \geq 12$ be positive integers satisfying $\binom{t-1}{3} \leq m \leq \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 6$. Let H be a 3-graph with m edges. If all but two edges of $[t-1]^{(3)}$ are in H, then H is not dense.*

Proof. Let m and t be positive integers satisfying $m \leq \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 6$. Let H be a 3-graph with m edges satisfying all but two edges of $[t-1]^{(3)}$ are in H. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $H[[t-1]] = H_2$ or $H[[t-1]] = H_3$ or $H[[t-1]] = H_4$. It's sufficient to show that $\lambda(H) = \lambda(H[[t-1]])$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $s = 3$ or 4. Let m and t be positive integers satisfying $m \leq \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 6$. Then there exists a 3-graph G with m edges satisfying* (a) $G[[t-1]] = H_s$, (b) *G does not contain a subgraph isomorphic to H_1,* (c) *if i_1i_2t' is in G, then all ancestors of i_1i_2t' containing the vertex t'' are in G, where $t \leq t'' \leq t'$, and* (d) *$\lambda(G) = \lambda_{m,s}$. Moreover, there exists an optimum weighting $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ of G such that $x_i \geq x_j$ when $i < j$.*

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Let $s = 3$ or 4. Let H be a 3-uniform graph with $m \leq \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 6$ edges satisfying $H[[t-1]] \cong H_s$, H does not contain a subgraph isomorphic to H_1, and $\lambda(H) = \lambda_{m,s}$. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of H and k be the number of non-zero weights in $\vec{x}$. We can assume that $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \cdots \geq x_{t-1}$ and $x_t \geq x_{t+1} \geq \cdots \geq x_n$, otherwise we relabel the vertices. Next we obtain a new 3-graph G from H by performing the following:

1. Case $s = 3$. Note that there are exactly two elements $e, e' \in [t-1]^{(3)} \setminus E(H)$ satisfying $|e \cap e'| = 1$. Replace e, e' by $(t-3)(t-2)(t-1), (t-5)(t-4)(t-1)$ respectively in $E(G)$.
Case $s = 4$. Note that there are exactly two disjoint elements $e, e' \in [t-1]^{(3)} \setminus E(H)$. Replace e, e' by $(t-3)(t-2)(t-1), (t-6)(t-5)(t-4)$ respectively in $E(G)$.
2. If an edge $j_1 j_2 t''$ in H has an ancestor $i_1 i_2 t'$ that is not in $E(H)$, where $t \le t' \le t''$, then replace $j_1 j_2 t''$ by $i_1 i_2 t'$. Repeat this until there is no such an edge.

Then G satisfies the following properties:
1. The number of edges in G is the same as the number of edges in H.
2. $\lambda(H) = \lambda(H, \vec{x}) \le \lambda(G, \vec{x}) \le \lambda(G)$.
3. $G[[t-1]] = H_s$.
4. All ancestors containing the vertex t' of $i_1 i_2 t''$ in G are in G, where $t \le t' \le t''$.
5. G does not contain a subgraph isomorphic to H_1,

If $k \le t-1$, then taking the optimum vector of G with the first $t-1$ coordinators the same as the coordinators of an optimum vector of H_s and other coordinators being zero, and the lemma holds. Now suppose that $k \ge t$.

To complete the proof, it's sufficient to prove that $x_{t-1} \ge x_t$. By Remark 2.6 (a), we have $\lambda(E_{(t-1)\setminus t}, \vec{x}) + x_t \lambda(E_{t(t-1)}, \vec{x}) = \lambda(E_{t\setminus(t-1)}, \vec{x}) + x_{t-1}\lambda(E_{t(t-1)}, \vec{x})$. So it's sufficient to prove that $\lambda(E_{(t-1)\setminus t}, \vec{x}) - \lambda(E_{t\setminus(t-1)}, \vec{x}) \ge 0$.

For the case of $s = 4$, note that $[t-1]^{(3)} \setminus E(G) = \{(t-3)(t-2)(t-1), (t-6)(t-5)(t-4)\}$ and by Property 4, we have $E_{t\setminus(t-1)} \subseteq \{(t-3)(t-2)\}$. Now we show that $E_{t\setminus(t-1)} = \emptyset$, i.e. $(t-3)(t-2) \notin E_{t\setminus(t-1)}$. Otherwise $(t-3)(t-2)t \in E(G)$, then $m \ge \binom{t-1}{3} - 2) + \binom{t-2}{2}$, which contradicts that $m \le \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 6$. So $\lambda(E_{(t-1)\setminus t}, \vec{x}) - \lambda(E_{t\setminus(t-1)}, \vec{x}) \ge 0$.

For the case of $s = 3$, note that $[t-1]^{(3)} \setminus E(G) = \{(t-3)(t-2)(t-1), (t-5)(t-4)(t-1)\}$ and by Property 4, we have $E_{t\setminus(t-1)} \subseteq \{(t-3)(t-2), (t-5)(t-4)\}$. Similar to the case of $s = 4$, we have $(t-3)(t-2) \notin E_{t\setminus(t-1)}$. If $(t-5)(t-4)t \notin E(G)$, then $E_{t\setminus(t-1)} = \emptyset$ and we are done as above. So assume that $(t-5)(t-4)t \in E(G)$. Since $|E_{(t-1)\setminus t}| \ge |E_{(t-1)} \cap [t-2]^{(2)}| - |E_t \cap [t-2]^{(2)}| \ge \binom{t-2}{2} - 2 - \big(m - (\binom{t-1}{3} - 2)\big) \ge 2$, then $\{(t-4)(t-2), (t-5)(t-2)\} \subseteq E_{(t-1)\setminus t}$ or $\{(t-4)(t-2), (t-4)(t-3)\} \subseteq E_{(t-1)\setminus t}$. Hence $\lambda(E_{(t-1)\setminus t}, \vec{x}) - \lambda(E_{t\setminus(t-1)}, \vec{x}) \ge 2x_{t-4}x_{t-2} - x_{t-5}x_{t-4}$. To complete the proof, it's sufficient to prove that $x_{t-5} \le 2x_{t-2}$. Similar to the case of $s = 4$, $(t-3)(t-1) \notin E_{t\setminus(t-2)}$, so $E_{t\setminus(t-2)} = \emptyset$. By Remark 2.6 (a) we have

$$x_{t-2} - x_t = \frac{\lambda(E_{(t-2)\setminus t}, \vec{x}) - \lambda(E_{t\setminus(t-2)}, \vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-2)t}, \vec{x})} \ge 0.$$

Similarly,

$$x_{t-5} - x_{t-2} = \frac{\lambda(E_{(t-5)\setminus(t-2)}, \vec{x}) - \lambda(E_{(t-2)\setminus(t-5)}, \vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-5)(t-2)}, \vec{x})}.$$

Since $\lambda(H, \vec{x}) = \lambda_{m,s}$, then $\lambda(G, \vec{x}) = \lambda_{m,s}$, that is, $\vec{x}$ is an optimal weighting of G. If $x_{t+1} = 0$, then there is nothing to prove. So assume that $x_{t+1} > 0$. We can also assume that $\vec{x}$ satisfying condition (1). By Lemma 2.5 (b) we have $1t(t+1) \in E(G)$. So if $2(t-5)(t+1) \in E(G)$ (note that $(t-5)(t-4)t \in E(G)$), by Property 4 then $m \ge (\binom{t-1}{3} - 2) + \binom{t-5}{2} + (t-1+t-7) > \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-5}{2} - 6$, a contradiction. So $2(t-5)(t+1) \notin E(G)$. Note that $E_{(t-2)\setminus(t-5)} = \{(t-1)(t-4)\}$. Therefore

$\lambda(E_{(t-5)\setminus(t-2)},\vec{x})-\lambda(E_{(t-2)\setminus(t-5)},\vec{x})=x_{t-3}x_{t-1}+x_t\lambda(E_{(t-5)t}\cap E^c_{(t-2)t},\vec{x})-x_{t-1}x_{t-4}$. Hence

$$x_{t-5}-x_{t-2}=\frac{x_{t-3}x_{t-1}+x_t\lambda(E_{(t-5)t}\cap E^c_{(t-2)t},\vec{x})-x_{t-6}x_{t-4}}{\lambda(E_{(t-5)(t-2)},\vec{x})}\le x_t.$$

Hence $x_{t-5}\le x_{t-2}+x_t\le 2x_{t-2}$. ■

Let us continue the proof of the theorem. By Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 5.2, we can assume that H satisfies (1) all ancestors containing the vertex t' of i_1i_2t'' in H are in H, where $t\le t'\le t''$ (2) $\lambda(H)=\lambda_{m,s}$ and (3) $x_1\ge x_2\ge\cdots\ge x_k>x_{k+1}=\cdots=x_n=0$. If $k\ge t+1$, then applying Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m=|E| &= |E\cap[k-1]^{(3)}|+|[k-2]^{(2)}\cap E_k|+|E_{(k-1)k}| \\ &\ge \binom{t}{3}-t=\binom{t-1}{3}+\binom{t-2}{2}-2, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption that $m\le\binom{l-1}{3}+\binom{l-2}{2}-6$. Recall that $k\ge t$, so we have $k=t$, then H is on $[t]$. We prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3 *Let $s=3$ or 4, m and $t\ge 12$ be positive integers satisfying $m\le\binom{t-1}{3}+\binom{t-2}{2}-6$. Let G be a 3-graph on vertex set $[t]$ with m edges satisfying $G[[t-1]]=H_s$ and all ancestors containing the vertex t of i_1i_2t in G are in G. And G has an optimum weighting $\vec{x}=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)$ satisfying $x_i\ge x_j$ when $i<j$. Then $\lambda(G)=\lambda(G[[t-1]])$.*

Proof of Lemma 5.3. **Case** $s=3$. Note that $(t-3)(t-2)t\notin E(G)$, otherwise $m\ge\binom{t-1}{3}-2+\binom{t-2}{2}>\binom{t-1}{3}+\binom{t-2}{2}-6$, a contradiction. Let k be the number of non-zero weights in $\vec{x}$. Denote $b=|E_{(t-1)t}|$, clearly $b\le t-2$. If $(t-5)(t-4)t\in E(G)$, then $i(t-1)t$, $i(t-2)t$, $i(t-3)t$ and $i'j't$, where $1\le i\le b$ and $1\le i'<j'\le t-4$, are edges in G. Therefore $3b+\binom{t-4}{2}\le m-\left(\binom{t-1}{3}-2\right)\le\binom{t-2}{2}-4$, so $b\le\frac{2}{3}t-\frac{11}{3}\le\frac{2}{3}t-3$. It's sufficient to prove that $k\le t-1$. On the contrary suppose that $k=t$. Since $E_{(t-1)\setminus(t-5)}=\emptyset$, by Remark 2.6 (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-5}-x_{t-1} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{(t-5)\setminus(t-1)},\vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-5)(t-1)},\vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{x_t\lambda(E_{(t-5)t\setminus(t-1)t},\vec{x})+x_{t-3}x_{t-2}}{\lambda(E_{(t-5)(t-1)},\vec{x})}. \end{aligned}$$

If $j\notin E_{(t-5)(t-1)}$, then $j\notin E_{(t-5)t\setminus(t-1)t}$. Note that $\lambda(E_{(t-5)(t-1)},\vec{x})\ge 1-x_{t-5}-x_{t-4}-x_{t-1}-x_t\ge(t-4)x_{t-2}$. Then

$$x_{t-5}-x_{t-1}\le x_t+\frac{x_{t-3}}{t-4}.$$

Note that $x_{t-3}\le x_{t-5}$, so

$$x_{t-5}\le\frac{t-4}{t-5}(x_{t-1}+x_t). \tag{14}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1-x_{t-1} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{1\setminus(t-1)},\vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{1(t-1)},\vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{x_t\lambda(E_{1t\setminus(t-1)t},\vec{x})+x_{t-3}x_{t-2}+x_{t-5}x_{t-4}}{1-x_1-x_{t-1}} \\ &\le x_t+\frac{2x_{t-5}}{t-5}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Inequalities (14) and (15) imply that

$$x_1 \leq \left(1 + \frac{2(t-4)}{(t-5)^2}\right)(x_{t-1} + x_t).$$

If $E_{t\setminus(t-1)} = \emptyset$, then $b \leq t-6$. By Remark 2.6 (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-1} - x_t &= \frac{\lambda(E_{(t-1)\setminus t}, \vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-1)t}, \vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{\lambda(E_t^c \cap [t-2]^{(2)}, \vec{x}) - \lambda(E_{t-1}^c \cap [t-2]^{(2)}, \vec{x})}{bx_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|[t-1]^{(3)} \cap E| = \binom{t-1}{3} - 2$$

and

$$|E_{(t-1)t}| = b,$$

then

$$|E_t^c \cap [t-2]^{(2)}| = \binom{t-2}{2} - \left(m - |[t-1]^{(3)} \cap E| - |E_{(t-1)t}|\right) \geq b+4.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-1} - x_t &\geq \frac{(b+2)x_{t-1}^2}{bx_1} \\ &\geq \frac{(b+2)x_{t-1}^2}{b\left(1 + \frac{2(t-4)}{(t-5)^2}\right)(x_{t-1} + x_t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Inequality (16) implies that

$$b\left(1 + \frac{2(t-4)}{(t-5)^2}\right)(x_{t-1}^2 - x_t^2) \geq (b+2)x_{t-1}^2.$$

This implies that $b \geq t-5$ which contradicts to $b \leq t-6$.

So we assume that $E_{t\setminus(t-1)} = \{(t-5)(t-4)\}$. Again by Remark 2.6 (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-1} - x_t &= \frac{\lambda(E_{(t-1)\setminus t}, \vec{x}) - \lambda(E_{t\setminus(t-1)}, \vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-1)t}, \vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{\lambda(E_t^c \cap [t-2]^{(2)}, \vec{x}) - x_{t-3}x_{t-2} - x_{t-5}x_{t-4}}{bx_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since there is at least one element containing $t-4$ in $E_t^c \cap [t-2]^{(2)}$, $(t-3)(t-2) \in E_t^c \cap [t-2]^{(2)}$ and

$$|[t-1]^{(3)} \cap E| = \binom{t-1}{3} - 2, \ \ |E_{(t-1)t}| = b,$$

then

$$|E_t^c \cap [t-2]^{(2)}| = \binom{t-2}{2} - \left(m - |[t-1]^{(3)} \cap E| - |E_{(t-1)t}|\right) \geq b+4.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-1}-x_t &\geq \frac{(b+2)x_{t-2}x_{t-1}-(x_{t-5}-x_{t-1})x_{t-4}}{bx_1} \\ &\geq \frac{(b+2)x_{t-2}x_{t-1}-\frac{t-4}{t-5}(\frac{1}{t-5}x_{t-1}+\frac{t-4}{t-5}x_t)(x_{t-1}+x_t)}{b(1+\frac{2(t-4)}{(t-5)^2})(x_{t-1}+x_t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by the denominator, applying $x_{t-2}\geq x_{t-1}$ and combining common terms, we get

$$\left(\frac{(2b+1)(t-4)}{(t-5)^2}-2\right)x_{t-1}^2+\frac{(t-4)(t-3)}{(t-5)^2}x_{t-1}x_t+\left(\frac{(t-4)^2-2b(t-4)}{(t-5)^2}-b\right)x_t^2\geq 0. \tag{17}$$

Now we consider two cases according to whether $x_t\geq\frac{1}{2}x_{t-1}$.

Case 1. $x_t\geq\frac{1}{2}x_{t-1}$. Since $x_t\leq x_{t-1}$, then (17) implies that

$$\left(\frac{(2b+1)(t-4)}{(t-5)^2}-2\right)x_{t-1}^2+\frac{(t-4)(t-3)}{(t-5)^2}x_{t-1}^2+\left(\frac{(t-4)^2-2b(t-4)}{(t-5)^2}-b\right)x_t^2\geq 0.$$

For every $t\geq 12$ and $1\leq b\leq\frac{2}{3}t-3$, observing that $\frac{(t-4)^2-2b(t-4)}{(t-5)^2}-b<0$. Applying $x_t\geq\frac{1}{2}x_{t-1}$ to the above inequality we obtained that

$$\left(\frac{(t-4)(t+2b-2)}{(t-5)^2}-2+\frac{(t-4)^2-b(t-5)^2-2b(t-4)}{4(t-5)^2}\right)x_{t-1}^2\geq 0.$$

The left of the above inequality is negative. This is a contradiction.

Case 2. $x_t<\frac{1}{2}x_{t-1}$. Then (17) implies that

$$\left(\frac{(2b+1)(t-4)+\frac{1}{2}(t-4)(t-3)}{(t-5)^2}-2\right)x_{t-1}^2>\left(b+\frac{2b(t-4)-(t-4)^2}{(t-5)^2}\right)x_t^2.$$

Note that $1\leq b\leq\frac{2}{3}t-3$ and $t\geq 12$, the left of the above inequality is negative and the right of the above inequality is positive. This is a contradiction.

Case $s=4$**.** (This proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 4.4) Let G be a 3-graph on vertex set $[t]$ with m edges satisfying $H[[t-1]]=H_4$ and all ancestors containing the vertex t of i_1i_2t in G are in G. Let $\vec{x}=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_t)$ be an optimum weighting of G and k be the number of non-zero weights in $\vec{x}$. Denote $b=|E_{(t-1)t}|$. Note that $x_1\geq x_2\geq\cdots\geq x_t$, $b\leq t-3$ and $(t-3)(t-2)t\notin E(G)$. It's sufficient to prove that $k\leq t-1$. On the contrary suppose that $k=t$. Note that $E_{(t-1)\setminus(t-2)}=\emptyset$, by Remark 2.6 (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-2}-x_{t-1} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{(t-2)\setminus(t-1)},\vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-2)(t-1)},\vec{x})} \\ &\leq \frac{x_t\lambda(E_{(t-2)t\setminus(t-1)t},\vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-2)(t-1)},\vec{x})} \\ &\leq x_t \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

since if $j\notin E_{(t-2)(t-1)}$, then $j=t$ or $t-3$, so $j\notin E_{(t-2)t\setminus(t-1)t}$. Since $E_{1\setminus(t-1)}=\emptyset$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x_1-x_{t-1} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{1\setminus(t-1)},\vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{1(t-1)},\vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{x_t\lambda(E^c_{(t-1)t},\vec{x})+x_{t-3}x_{t-2}}{1-x_1-x_{t-1}} \\ &\leq x_t+\frac{1}{t-4}x_{t-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Inequalities (18) and (19) imply that

$$x_1 \leq \frac{t-3}{t-4}(x_{t-1}+x_t).$$

Note that $(t-3)(t-2)t \notin E(G)$, by Remark 2.6 (a) again we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-1}-x_t &= \frac{\lambda(E_{(t-1)\setminus t},\vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-1)t},\vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{\lambda(E_t^c\cap[t-2]^{(2)},\vec{x})-x_{t-3}x_{t-2}}{bx_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|[t-1]^{(3)}\cap E| = \binom{t-1}{3}-2$$

and

$$|E_{(t-1)t}| = b,$$

then

$$|E_t^c\cap[t-2]^{(2)}| = \binom{t-2}{2}-\Big(m-|[t-1]^{(3)}\cap E|-|E_{(t-1)t}|\Big) \geq b+4.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-1}-x_t &\geq \frac{(b+3)x_{t-1}^2}{bx_1} \\ &\geq \frac{(b+3)x_{t-1}^2}{b\frac{t-3}{t-4}(x_{t-1}+x_t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Inequality (20) implies that

$$\frac{b(t-3)}{t-4}(x_{t-1}^2-x_t^2) \geq (b+3)x_{t-1}^2.$$

So

$$b(1+\frac{1}{t-4}) > b+3.$$

This implies that

$$b > 3(t-4),$$

which contradicts to $b \leq t-2$. ■

Then by Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 5.3, $\lambda(H) \leq \lambda(G) \leq \lambda(H_1) = \lambda(H[[t-1]])$ and H is not dense. This completes the proof. ■

5.2 Dense case

In this subsection, we give a sufficient condition for 3-uniform hypergraphs containing a large clique minus two edges to be dense.

Theorem 5.4 *Let m and $t \geq 9$ be positive integers satisfying $\binom{t-1}{3}+\binom{t-2}{2}-1 \leq m \leq \binom{t}{3}$. Let G be a 3-graph with vertex set $[t]$ and m edges. If all but two edges of $[t-1]^{(3)}$ are in G and G does not contain a clique of order $t-1$ minus one edge, then G is dense.*

Proof. Let A, B be the two edges satisfying $A, B \in [t-1]^{(3)} \setminus E$. There are three cases, $|A \cap B| = 0$, $|A \cap B| = 1$ and $|A \cap B| = 2$. Let $G_1, G_2, G_3 = [t-1]^{(3)} \setminus \{A, B\}$ be the 3-graphs satisfying $|A \cap B| = 0$, $|A \cap B| = 1$ and $|A \cap B| = 2$ accordingly. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $G_1 = H_4$, $G_2 = H_3$ and $G_3 = H_2$.

Case 1. $|A \cap B| = 0$. First, we deduce the value of $\lambda(H_4)$. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{t-1})$ be an optimum weighting of H_4. By Remark 2.6 we have $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_{t-7} \geq x_{t-6} = \ldots = x_{t-1}$. Assume that $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_{t-7} = a$ and $x_{t-6} = \ldots = x_{t-1} = b$, then $(t-7)a + 6b = 1$ and

$$\lambda(H_4) = \binom{t-7}{3}a^3 + 6\binom{t-7}{2}a^2 b + (t-7)\binom{6}{2}ab^2 + 18b^3. \tag{21}$$

By Remark 2.6 (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a - b = x_1 - x_{t-1} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{1\setminus(t-1)}, \vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{1(t-1)}, \vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{x_{t-3}x_{t-2}}{1 - x_1 - x_{t-1}} \\ &= \frac{b^2}{1-a-b} \\ &< \frac{b}{t-3}, \end{aligned}$$

where E is the edge set of H_4. So

$$b > \frac{t-3}{t-2}a. \tag{22}$$

Consider a legal weighting $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_t)$ for G with $y_i = x_i$ for every $i \neq 1$, $y_1 = x_1 - \varepsilon$ and $y_t = \varepsilon$, where $0 < \varepsilon < a$. Since $a > b$ and $\lambda(G, \vec{y})$ is the minimum when the number of edges containing the vertex t with weight $a^2\varepsilon$ is minimum. Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda(G, \vec{y}) - \lambda(H_4) \\ \geq\ & -\binom{t-8}{2}a^2\varepsilon - 6(t-8)ab\varepsilon - \binom{6}{2}b^2\varepsilon + \binom{6}{2}b^2\varepsilon + 6(t-7)ab\varepsilon \\ & + \left[\binom{t-2}{2} + 1 - 6(t-7) - 15\right]a^2\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ =\ & -5a^2\varepsilon + 6ab\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ >\ & \frac{(t-8)a^2\varepsilon}{t-2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ >\ & 0, \end{aligned}$$

when ε is small enough.

Case 2. $|A \cap B| = 1$. First, we deduce the value of $\lambda(H_3)$. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{t-1})$ be an optimum weighting of H_3. By Remark 2.6 we have $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_{t-6} \geq x_{t-5} = x_{t-4} = x_{t-3} = x_{t-2} \geq x_{t-1}$. Assume that $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_{t-6} = a$, $x_{t-5} = x_{t-4} = x_{t-3} = x_{t-2} = b$ and $x_{t-1} = c$, then $(t-6)a + 4b + c = 1$ and

$$\lambda(H_3) = \binom{t-6}{3}a^3 + 4\binom{t-6}{2}a^2 b + \binom{t-6}{2}a^2 c + 6(t-6)ab^2 + 4(t-6)abc + 4b^2 c.$$

By Remark 2.6 (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a-b=x_1-x_{t-2} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{1\setminus(t-2)},\vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{1(t-2)},\vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{x_{t-3}x_{t-1}}{1-x_1-x_{t-2}} \\ &= \frac{bc}{1-a-b} \\ &< \frac{b}{t-3}, \end{aligned}$$

where E is the edge set of H_3. So

$$b>\frac{t-3}{t-2}a. \tag{23}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} b-c=x_{t-2}-x_{t-1} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{(t-2)\setminus(t-1)},\vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{(t-2)(t-1)},\vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{x_{t-5}x_{t-4}}{1-x_{t-3}-x_{t-2}-x_{t-1}} \\ &= \frac{b^2}{1-2b-c} \\ &< \frac{b}{t-4}, \end{aligned}$$

then together with (23) we have

$$c>\frac{t-5}{t-4}b>\frac{t-5}{t-2}a. \tag{24}$$

Consider a legal weighting $\vec{y}=(y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_t)$ for G with $y_i=x_i$ for every $i\neq 1$, $y_1=x_1-\varepsilon$ and $y_t=\varepsilon$, where $0<\varepsilon<a$. Since $a>b>c$ and $\lambda(G)$ is the minimum when the number of edges containing the vertex t with weight $a^2\varepsilon$ is minimum. Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda(G,\vec{y})-\lambda(H_3) \\ \geq\ & -\binom{t-7}{2}a^2\varepsilon-4(t-7)ab\varepsilon-(t-7)ac\varepsilon-6b^2\varepsilon-4bc\varepsilon+4bc\varepsilon+(t-6)ac\varepsilon \\ & +6b^2\varepsilon+4(t-6)ab\varepsilon+\left[\binom{t-2}{2}+1-5(t-6)-10\right]a^2\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ =\ & -4a^2\varepsilon+4ab\varepsilon+ac\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ >\ & \frac{(t-9)a^2\varepsilon}{t-2}+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ >\ & 0 \end{aligned}$$

when ε is small enough.

Case 3. $|A\cap B|=2$. First, we deduce the value of $\lambda(H_2)$. Let $\vec{x}=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{t-1})$ be an optimum weighting of H_2. By Remark 2.6 we have $x_1=x_2=\ldots=x_{t-5}\geq x_{t-4}=x_{t-3}\geq x_{t-2}=x_{t-1}$. Assume that $x_1=x_2=\ldots=x_{t-5}=a$, $x_{t-4}=x_{t-3}=b$ and $x_{t-2}=x_{t-1}=c$, then $(t-5)a+2b+2c=1$ and

$$\lambda(H_2)=\binom{t-5}{3}a^3+2\binom{t-5}{2}a^2b+2\binom{t-5}{2}a^2c+(t-5)ab^2+4(t-5)abc+(t-5)ac^2+2b^2c.$$

By Remark 2.6 (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a-b=x_1-x_{t-4} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{1\setminus(t-4)},\vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{1(t-4)},\vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{x_{t-2}x_{t-1}}{1-x_1-x_{t-4}} \\ &= \frac{c^2}{1-a-b} \\ &< \frac{c}{t-3} \\ &< \frac{b}{t-3}, \end{aligned}$$

where E is the edge set of H_2. So

$$b > \frac{t-3}{t-2}a. \tag{25}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} a-c=x_1-x_{t-1} &= \frac{\lambda(E_{1\setminus(t-1)},\vec{x})}{\lambda(E_{1(t-1)},\vec{x})} \\ &= \frac{(x_{t-4}+x_{t-3})x_{t-2}}{1-x_1-x_{t-1}} \\ &= \frac{2bc}{1-a-c} \\ &< \frac{2c}{t-4}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$c > \frac{t-4}{t-2}a. \tag{26}$$

Consider a legal weighting $\vec{y}=(y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_t)$ for G with $y_i=x_i$ for every $i\in[t-3]$, $y_j=x_j-\varepsilon$ for $j=t-2,t-1$ and $y_t=2\varepsilon$, where $0<\varepsilon<c$.

Since $a>b>c$ and the worst case in G is that the number of edges containing the vertex t with weight $2a^2\varepsilon$ is minimum. Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda(G,\vec{y})-\lambda(H_2) \\ = & -2\binom{t-5}{2}a^2\varepsilon-4(t-5)ab\varepsilon-2b^2\varepsilon-2(t-5)ac\varepsilon \\ & +2c^2\varepsilon+8bc\varepsilon+2b^2\varepsilon+4(t-5)ac\varepsilon+4(t-5)ab\varepsilon \\ & +2\left[\binom{t-2}{2}+1-4(t-5)-6\right]a^2\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ = & -2(t-3)a^2\varepsilon+2(t-5)ac\varepsilon+8bc\varepsilon+2c^2\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ > & \frac{2(t^2-14t+36)a^2\varepsilon}{(t-2)^2}+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ > & 0, \end{aligned}$$

when ε is small enough. This completes the proof. ■

6 Remarks

Results similar to Theorem 4.5 can be obtained for 3-graphs G containing all but l edges of $[t-1]^{(3)}$ with $l \geq 3$ by modifying the proof of Theorem 4.5. For r-graphs, it might be interesting to consider the following conjecture by Peng-Zhao.

Conjecture 6.1 ([8]) *Let t, m and $r \geq 3$ be positive integers satisfying $\binom{t-1}{r} \leq m \leq \binom{t-1}{r} + \binom{t-2}{r-1}$. Let G be an r-graph with m edges and G contain a clique of order $t-1$. Then $\lambda(G) = \lambda([t-1]^{(r)})$.*

If the above conjecture is true, then combining Theorem 3.2, one can conclude that for an r-graph G with vertex set $[t]$ and m edges containing $[t-1]^{(r)}$, G is dense if and only if $m \geq \binom{t-1}{r} + \binom{t-2}{r-1} + 1$.

Very little is known about dense r-graphs. At some point, we thought that an r-graph obtained by adding edges to a dense r-graph G will be dense. However, this is not true.

Remark 6.2 (Non-monotonicity) *For a dense r-graph $G = (V, E)$, there may exist some $e \in E(G^c)$ such that $H = (V, E \cup \{e\})$ is not dense.*

Proof. For example, let G be a left-compressed 3-graph on t vertices with $m = \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2} - 1$ edges satisfying $[t-1]^{(3)} \setminus \{(t-3)(t-2)(t-1)\} \subseteq G$ but $[t-1]^{(3)} \nsubseteq G$ and $(t-3)(t-2)t, (t-3)(t-1)t, (t-2)(t-1)t \notin G$. Then proposition 4.6 implies that G is dense. Let $H = G \cup \{(t-3)(t-2)(t-1)\}$. It's clear that $[t-1]^{(3)} \subseteq H$ and $|H| = \binom{t-1}{3} + \binom{t-2}{2}$, then H is not dense from Theorem 3.1. ■

Acknowledgments. We thank both reviewers for reading the manuscript carefully, checking all the details and giving insightful comments to help improve the manuscript.

References

[1] P. Frankl, Z. Füredi, Extremal problems whose solutions are the blow-ups of the small Witt-designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A.* **52**(1989), 129–147.

[2] P. Frankl and V. Rödl, Hypergraphs do not jump, *Combinatorica* **4**(1984), 149-159.

[3] D. Hefetz and P. Keevash, A hypergraph Turán theorem via lagrangians of intersecting families, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **120**(2013), 2020–2038.

[4] P. Keevash, Hypergrah Turán problems, *Surveys in Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, (2011), 83–140.

[5] T.S. Motzkin and E.G. Straus, Maxima for graphs and a new proof of a theorem of Turán, *Canad. J. Math* **17**(1965), 533-540.

[6] Y. Peng, Q. Tang and C. Zhao, On Lagrangians of r-uniform Hypergraphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization* **30(3)**(2015), 812-825.

[7] Y. Peng, B. Wu and Y. Yao, A Note on Generalized Lagrangians of Non-uniform Hypergraphs, *Order.* (2016), 1–13 (Online).

[8] Y. Peng, C. Zhao, A Motzkin-Straus type result for 3-uniform hypergraphs, *Graphs Combin.* **29**(2013), 681–694.

[9] A.F. Sidorenko, On the maximal number of edges in a uniform hypergraph that does not contain prohibited subgraphs, *Mat. Zametki* **41**(1987), 247-259.

[10] A.F. Sidorenko, Asymptotic solution for a new class of forbiddenr-graphs, *Combinatorica* **9**(1989), 207–215.

[11] Y. Sun, Y. Peng and B. Wu, On Graph-Lagrangians and clique numbers of 3-uniform hypergraphs, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* **32(8)**(2016), 943–960.

[12] J. Talbot, Lagrangians of hypergraphs, *Combinatorics, Probability & Computing* **11**(2002), 199-216.

[13] Q. Tang, Y. Peng, X. Zhang and C. Zhao, On graph-Lagrangians of hypergraphs containing dense subgraphs, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **163**(2014), no. 1, 31-56.

[14] Q. Tang, Y. Peng, X. Zhang and C. Zhao, Some results on Lagrangians of hypergraphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **166**(2014), 222-238.

[15] Q. Tang, Y. Peng, X. Zhang and C. Zhao, Connection between the clique number and the Lagrangian of 3-uniform hypergraphs, *Optimization Letters* **10(4)**(2016), 685-697.

[16] P. Turán, On an extremal problem in graph theory(in Hungarian), *Mat. Fiz. Lapok* **48**(1941), 436–452.